MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

Rating Action: Moody's downgrades Royal Schiphol Group N.V.'s ratings to A2 from A1 and changes outlook to stable from negative

26 Sep 2022

Paris, September 26, 2022 -- Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has today downgraded the backed senior unsecured debt ratings of Royal Schiphol Group N.V. to A2 from A1 and the provisional rating on the backed senior unsecured medium-term note (EMTN) programme of Royal Schiphol Group N.V. and Schiphol Nederland B.V. (together, Royal Schiphol Group or RSG) to (P)A2 from (P)A1, and changed the outlook to stable from negative on both entities. At the same time, Moody's has downgraded Royal Schiphol Group N.V.'s Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) to baa1 from a3.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The downgrade of Royal Schiphol Group's ratings reflects Moody's expectations that air traffic will not likely recover to the pre-pandemic level in the near term, and prospects for full recovery thereafter are likely to be somewhat impaired by caps of air transport movements (ATMs) which, together with increased cost pressures, and possibly continuing operational constraints, means that RSG is unlikely to be able to achieve credit metrics commensurate with an A1 rating over the short to medium term.

Environmental considerations are incorporated into the rating, more particularly the Dutch Government announcement that it intends to limit ATMs at Schiphol airport from the current cap of 500,000 to 440,000 from November 2023 in order to reduce noise pollution. The introduction of the revised cap would reduce ATMs below those seen at Schiphol airport prior to COVID.

In common with elsewhere in Europe, traffic volumes have started to recover from the low point seen during COVID, and Moody's expects that traffic in 2022 will be 30% below the 2019 level and will recover to about 15% below pre-pandemics level by end of 2023. Nevertheless, there remain uncertainties around the traffic recovery profile given the current geopolitical environment and knock on effects on the European economy and high energy prices.

To date, and as with certain other airports throughout Europe, Schiphol Airport has struggled to provide sufficient operational capacity to meet full demand at peak times of year, which has limited passenger flows throughout the summer 2022 months. This arises primarily from a lack of security staff to deal with travel peaks, which RSG is seeking to address. To deal with the immediate pressures, the company has limited departing passenger numbers to 54,500-57,000 passengers per day, and such cap is now expected to last till at least the year-end. To the extent that these problems continue, it will provide a constraining impact on passenger levels at Schiphol and may start to impact the airlines service offering. Over the longer term, with the lower ATM cap in place, Schiphol will likely see shifts in service patterns and aircraft usage of the airlines to accommodate the lower maximum ATM levels.

Furthermore, the Government has also decided to postpone further the opening of the Lelystad airport which has been redeveloped on the assumption that part of the origin & destination traffic currently handled by Amsterdam Airport Schiphol will move there, creating room for additional transfer traffic at Amsterdam. The construction of the airport has been completed in 2019, but all required nature permits have not been granted yet.

In addition to capacity limitations, Schiphol has faced, and is expected to continue to face, cost pressures as a result of the higher inflationary environment, affecting staff costs and energy costs among other things. The ability to recover such higher costs is limited during the current regulatory period to 2024 as annual cost increases are limited to 2% per annum. Nevertheless, Moody's notes that the regulatory framework should provide for a rebasing of traffic volumes and provide the company with the ability to recover economic and efficient costs pertaining to the aviation activities when the next aviation tariffs are set in accordance with the next regulatory period from 2025.

More generally Royal Schiphol Group's A2 rating continues to reflect (1) the company's strong business profile through its ownership of Amsterdam Airport Schiphol, the third-largest in Europe by passenger numbers, and other airports in the Netherlands, (2) a regulatory framework which provides visibility on airport charges until

2024 and a revenue compensation mechanism, (3) a recovering financial profile and an appropriate financial policy, and (4) Royal Schiphol Group's adequate liquidity profile with sufficient available resources to cover all funding needs over the next 12-18 months, large committed facilities, and flexibility under its capex program.

However, the A2 rating also recognises: (1) the expected reduction in future traffic due to the new Governmental regulation to reduce the ATMs cap and the uncertainties around how key airlines may review their route networks, (2) the company's high reliance on Air France-KLM Group, a French-Dutch airline group, that is still going through a restructuring process and recovering post-pandemic, (3) the need to increase expansionary investment to support passenger growth, whilst complying with noise regulations that limit aircraft operations, and (4) increasing inflationary pressure.

Given that RGS is 70% owned by the Government of Netherlands (Aaa stable), its A2 rating comprises a BCA of baa1 together with a Moderate Support assumption and a Moderate Dependence assumption. The uplift to the BCA reflects the likelihood of extraordinary support being provided by the Government of the Netherlands in the event that this were ever to be required to avoid a default.

RATIONALE FOR THE STABLE OUTLOOK

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the company's credit metrics will recover to the level commensurate with a BCA of baa1/A2 rating by the end of 2023, namely a Funds from Operations (FFO)/Debt ratio at least in the low teens in percentage terms. This compares to an FFO/Debt ratio of 19.1% FY2019, and a drop to -3.8% in 2020 and -0.16% in 2021.

While RGS faces a number of headwinds, the company is expected to manage its financial profile in accordance with the above parameters.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT COVENANTS

Moody's considers Royal Schiphol Group's liquidity profile to be good. The company's primary sources of committed liquidity are (1) cash and equivalents of €1.16 billion (as of 30 June 2022), (2) undrawn committed credit facilities of around €675 million, consisting of €400 million of facilities maturing in 2025, €100 million maturing in 2023 (to be extended) and €175 million undrawn under an EIB loan maturing in June 2023. In addition, Royal Schiphol Group holds three bilateral uncommitted facilities with a combined total of €330 million. Furthermore, Eindhoven Airport has a facility for EUR 60 mln euros available to refinance the future capital expenditure and to provide sufficient liquidity, out of which EUR 18 mln has been drawn and EUR 8 mln were repaid during 2021. In 2021, the company has also refinanced €450 million in debt maturities and will not face any major maturities on its debt up until 2024 when the EIB loan becomes due. Starting from 2025, the company will face a number of maturities of EMTN bonds that we expect the company will be able to refinance on the capital markets.

Royal Schiphol Group's debt with the EIB contains a financial covenant based on an own funds/total assets ratios against which the company currently has some headroom and is not expected to be in breach for the foreseeable future.

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

Although unlikely given current macroeconomic conditions, Royal Schiphol Group's ratings could face upward pressure in the scenario of a sustainable improvement in the operating environment and stronger than expected traffic recovery such that the company's FFO/Debt ratio would be expected to remain solidly above the mid-teens in percentage terms.

Conversely, Royal Schiphol Group's ratings could come under further downwards pressure if it appeared likely that the FFO/Debt ratio would remain below the low-teens in percentage terms on a sustained basis.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The methodologies used in these ratings were Privately Managed Airports and Related Issuers published in September 2017 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/63380, and Government-Related Issuers Methodology published in February 2020 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/63380, and Government-Related Issuers Methodology published in February 2020 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/63380, and Government-Related Issuers Methodology published in February 2020 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/64864. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

COMPANY PROFILE

Royal Schiphol Group N.V. is a holding company of a group that owns and operates Amsterdam Airport Schiphol, Rotterdam The Hague Airport, Lelystad Airport, and 51% of Eindhoven Airport, which together comprise most of the airport capacity in the Netherlands. In addition, Royal Schiphol Group has minority investments in a number of overseas airports. The largest of the Dutch airports, Amsterdam Schiphol Airport, is the third-largest airport in Europe by passenger numbers. Royal Schiphol Group is currently 70% owned by the Government of Netherlands (Aaa stable), 20% by the Municipality of Amsterdam, 2% by the Municipality of Rotterdam, and 8% by Groupe ADP (ADP). Royal Schiphol Group has a cross-shareholding and an industrial cooperation agreement with ADP.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found on https://ratings.moodys.com/rating-definitions.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the issuer/deal page for the respective issuer on https://ratings.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

These ratings are solicited. Please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website https://ratings.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Moody's general principles for assessing environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks in our credit analysis can be found at https://ratings.moodys.com/documents/PBC_1288235.

At least one ESG consideration was material to the credit rating action(s) announced and described above.

The Global Scale Credit Rating on this Credit Rating Announcement was issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the UK and is endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Limited, One Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 5FA under the law applicable to credit rating agencies in the UK. Further information on the UK endorsement status and on the Moody's office that issued the credit rating is available on https://ratings.moodys.com.

Please see https://ratings.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the issuer/deal page on https://ratings.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Olga Kravets Asst Vice President - Analyst Infrastructure Finance Group Moody's France SAS 96 Boulevard Haussmann Paris, 75008 France JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Andrew Blease Associate Managing Director Infrastructure Finance Group JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office: Moody's France SAS 96 Boulevard Haussmann Paris, 75008 France JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456 Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

> MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2022 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that

neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY100,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.